

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR DOX 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unipto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	T NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/042,872	01	/08/2002	Robert E.W. Hancock	UBC1170-1	UBC1170-1 5368	
28213	7590	09/24/2003				
		& FREIDENR	EXAMINER			
4365 EXECT SUITE 1100		- , -	KAM, CHIH MIN			
SAN DIEGO	O, CA 9212	21-2133		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1653		
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/042,872	HANCOCK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	
	Chih-Min Kam	1653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, my within the statutory minimum will expire SIX (6), cause the application to beco	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement		
Application Papers	·		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		v *	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•	
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	in Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a	a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application ha	as been received.	
Attachment(s)		30	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1/</u>	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) :	

Application/Control Number: 10/042,872

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because the claim recites amino acid sequences without providing requisite "SEQ ID NO" for each listed sequence.

Claim Rejections-Obviousness Type Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U. S. Patent 6,337,317. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 1 in the instant application disclose an isolated peptide having antimicrobial activity and an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:14, 15, 16, 17, 18 or 19, where Z_1 is a basic amino acid residue or absent, Z_2 is a basic or aromatic residue, Z_3 is a basic amino acid residue, Z_4 is Arg, Val or Ala, and Z_5 is an aromatic or aliphatic amino acid residue. This is obvious in view of claims 1-5 in the patent which disclose Z_1 is a basic amino acid residue such as Lys, Arg and His, or absent; Z_2 is a basic or aromatic residue such as Lys, Arg, His, Phe, Tyr or Trp; Z_3 is a basic amino acid residue such as Lys, Arg or His; Z_4 is Arg, Val or Ala, and Z_5 is Ala, Val, Ile,

Application/Control Number: 10/042,872

Art Unit: 1653

Page 3

Leu, Phe, Tyr or Trp in SEQ ID NO:14-18 or 19. Both sets of claims cite an isolated peptide

having antimicrobial activity and an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:14, 15, 16, 17, 18 or

19. Thus, claim 1 in present application and claims 1-5 in the patent are obvious variations of an

isolated peptide having antimicrobial activity and an amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:14, 15,

16, 17, 18 or 19, where Z_1 is a basic amino acid residue such as Lys, Arg and His, or absent; Z_2

is a basic or aromatic residue such as Lys, Arg, His, Phe, Tyr or Trp; Z₃ is a basic amino acid

residue such as Lys, Arg or His; Z₄ is Arg, Val or Ala, and Z₅ is Ala, Val, Ile, Leu, Phe, Tyr or

Trp.

Conclusion

3. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chih-Min Kam whose telephone number is (703) 308-9437. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Christopher Low, Ph. D. can be reached on (703) 308-2923. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-0294 for

regular communications and (703) 308-4227 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Chih-Min Kam, Ph. D. CMK

Patent Examiner

Christophers de land

CHRISTOPHER S. F. LOW SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600 Application/Control Number: 10/042,872

Art Unit: 1653

September 15, 2003

Page 4